

This measure allegedly aimed at human trafficking actually threatens many innocent people:

If Proposition 35 passes, anyone receiving financial support from normal, consensual prostitution among adults -- including a sex worker's children, parents, spouse, domestic partner, roommate, landlord, or others -- could be prosecuted as a human trafficker, and if convicted, forced to register as a sex offender for life!

“My son, who served our country in the U.S. military and now attends college, could be labeled a human trafficker and have to register as a sex offender if I support him with money I earn providing erotic services.” -Maxine Doogan

Rather than working with sex worker communities to stop real human traffickers, far-left anti-sex feminists and far-right religious conservatives who back Proposition 35 hope voters who hear “trafficking” will be deceived into supporting their futile crusade against the "world's oldest profession" by further criminalizing people connected with consensual adult prostitution. Proponents' argument that California is a “high intensity area” for trafficking is suspiciously similar to debunked claims made elsewhere:

http://www.oregonlive.com/portland/index.ssf/2011/01/portland_child_sex_trafficking.html

Proposition 35 would create a new unfunded liability for our state, just when California's government is in fiscal crisis and numerous cities have already filed for bankruptcy. A wealthy executive supplied over 90% of Proposition 35's campaign donations – http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/07/07/californians-against-sexual-exploitation-act_n_1656311.html but his money won't be there to fund enforcement. Traffickers footing the bill is wishful thinking -- forfeiture hasn't paid for the "War on Drugs", and will never adequately fund a "War on Prostitution" either.

Vote NO on Proposition 35!

Manual Jimenez, CFO Erotic Service Providers Legal, Education and Research Project Inc.

Norma Jean Almodovar

Starchild